UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED . S. DISTRICT JUDGE / 🖵 U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE RECEIVED DATE THERE WE 2011 Deputy Clerk FROM: R. Mullin. CASE NO.: 08cv0018 H (NLS) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner DEPUTY CASE TITLE: Silveyra v. People of the State of California DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Declaration of Counsel Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted: Local Rule Discrepancy 5.1 Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation 5.3 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper 5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued. 7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely 7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document 7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions Missing table of contents 7.1 15.1 Amended pleading not complete in itself 30.1 Depositions not accepted absent a court order Supplemental documents require court order Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest OTHER: **Date forwarded:** 2/12/2008 ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received. The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties. Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant

cc: All Parties

CHAMBERS OF: HUFF

to Local Rule 83.1